

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the specification, the previously requested addition of a paragraph is re-presented, but with the page number corrected to be page 6.

5

Claims 16 and 18-22 remain in this application. Claims 17 and 23 have been canceled.

Art rejection – admitted prior art in view of US 5,859,495 (hereinafter "Honda")

To the extent that this rejection might be maintained against the amended claim 16,
10 reconsideration is requested because nothing in Honda suggests a flange portion "free from impression by a crossing wire."

The instant application teaches that the impression made by a current supply wire which has been pulled away from part of the flange is detrimental.

Applicants respectfully traverse the implication in Paper No. 16 that Honda teaches a second plurality of wires "free from impression" by a crossing wire. In a number of places Honda refers to a "dent." The meaning of this word is made clear by lines 54-57 of col. 1. "Forming a dent toward the central axis of the cathode ray tube at the center of the screen flange portion of the horizontal deflection coil" is a method of reducing certain higher order raster distortion. This creates the problem that "it is highly likely that the insulating coating layer of a coil wire is damaged due to the excessive stretching of the coil wire in production" (col. 2, lines 5-7). These passages make clear that the process of "forming a dent" is a particular kind of "forming" of a coil, in which an already wound coil is deformed by pressing against portions of the coil so as to deform them. Such forming is commonly performed by pressing a tool against the coil after the coil has been wound.

25 To the contrary, it is clear from the instant application that the "impression by a crossing wire" is an impression by a crossing current supply wire which was in the place of the impression before the coil was wound.

As a result, one of ordinary skill would not be led to modify the admitted prior art so as to eliminate the impression of a crossing current supply wire, because such impression is quite different from "forming a dent."

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

5

10

Respectfully submitted,

By 
David R. Treacy, Reg. 25,244
Consulting Patent Attorney
(207) 655-3432
AFTER Nov. 2: (914) 738-2336